

From: [REDACTED]
Subject: Case 16-09465
Date: Dec 16, 2019 at 3:09:17 PM
To: mpowell@cochise.az.gov
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Powell,

Thanks for taking the time to speak to me Friday, regarding the handling of our case and our issues with Wendy Dutton. As I mentioned before, the medical evidence, we have with all of the doctors/professionals in Sierra Vista, at least four, are physical evidence that the crime did indeed occur. (Medical evidence is attached... this is just a sample, there is more). There are also recordings, attached.

These local professionals cannot understand how anyone would simply dismiss "well documented" medical records, which detail rectal bleeding in our son and continuous yeast and UTI problems in our daughter, especially when, she was only 22 months, at the time of the crime. Add to that, the fact that our daughter disclosed that the suspect touched her vagina to forensic interviewer BP at Lori's Place, several days before my wife spoke to our children. So, the coaching argument is bogus. Now, the age of the children is also not an issue, being that they are now 7 and 5 and would most likely be 8 and 6 at the time of trial.

What I forgot to mention, is that the Sheriff's Dept. did not even call our pediatric nurse, who wrote a letter to law enforcement in September 2016, about the disclosures the children made to her privately. It's outrageous that she was never called! Those disclosures happened prior to us moving from Sierra Vista. We are completely disgusted that no one reached out to these doctors and professionals to investigate further. We then, asked for a second forensic interview, to gather additional evidence of what our children were saying, because of rapidly developing speech progression. After checking with numerous district attorneys around the country, who specialize in sex crimes, we were told it is quite common to have several interviews, because of rapidly developing speech progression. Forensic Interviewer Wendy Dutton, in her own Powerpoint Presentations, which are posted online, agree that many victims "piecemeal their disclosures." Piecemeal disclosures mean that there are numerous interviews and/or witnesses, whom they disclose to including, grandparents, parents and doct

ors, and that the disclosures don't have to be in the form of a forensic interview to be valid.

Back in October 2016, after a two-hour meeting with Sheriff Dannels and Chief Criminal Prosecutor Doyl Johnston, Detective Todd Borquez called us, to let us know they were going to set up the interview with Wendy Dutton, so the children could be interviewed. Then, several weeks later, Borquez calls us to say, they are only going to submit our evidence for "review," and not to conduct an interview. So, Dutton is going to review our evidence, even though she has "never" met our kids? Now, does that make sense to you? We couldn't believe that the Sheriff's Dept. wasn't going to collect more statements, because the children were safe now and were disclosing details, daily. Ethically, forensic interviewers cannot issue an opinion, without having directly witnessed or conducted the interview. We are not confident that Dutton even reviewed the "original" forensic interviews conducted by Lori's Place, as we were not privy to the email, once it was submitted by the Sheriff's Dept. We question their motives for not arranging the second interview. It is unfathomable they or the County Attorney's Office would not want to collect additional details.

Dutton's credentials have been questioned numerous times, in court, where she lied under oath, back in 2006, and there was concern about overturning some 200 sex crimes cases, she had testified in. Tucson-based, Defense Attorney Dan Cooper, said Dutton claimed she had hoped to obtain her PhD within the year, when she, in fact, hadn't even met with the committee, where she attended school, to decide on the subject of her dissertation. Per Dan Cooper, Dutton testifying to this hope to have her PhD within a year went on for 6 years! It took 19 years for her to obtain her PhD. Dutton's PhD is in Philosophy (Social Justice), not Psychology, Behavioral Health or Forensic Sciences. Nearly all of our medical experts, who have directly met our children, have more qualifications than Dutton. The Arizona Supreme Court even ruled that experts like Dutton who are not directly involved in cases, "may not" provide case details in court.

t...

they can only speak generally to the "mind set" of victims and what you typically see in sex abuse cases, which is the definition of a "cold expert." So, how is this allowed in this case?

We find it disturbing to learn that Dutton is now working/consulting for Lori's Place, the local advocacy center in Sierra Vista. Why would authorities not pick professionals out of Tucson with the Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center? Instead, you employ someone from Phoenix. Because of her issues with integrity, it doesn't look good for the advocacy center. You may want to consider these issues of "integrity" when continuing to employ Dutton for future sex crimes cases in Cochise County. We wouldn't want other victims to endure what we have. I hope, as I stated on Friday, that you will take this case to a Grand Jury to decide. There is enough here to prosecute. You have physical evidence with the medical records, the original forensic interviews, multiple recordings and the pediatric nurse's letter.

Sincerely,

[Redacted signature]

<p>Tap to Download</p> <p>Cochise Co...(6).docx</p> <p>22 KB</p>	<p>Tap to Download</p> <p>Therapy se...(1).docx</p> <p>40 KB</p>	<p>Tap to Download</p> <p>[Redacted]...lay (1).docx</p> <p>31 KB</p>
<p>Tap to Download</p> <p>Medical Evi...idence.pdf</p> <p>7.5 MB</p>	<p>Tap to Download</p> <p>[Redacted] late...sex.mp3</p> <p>1.2 MB</p>	<p>Tap to Download</p> <p>[Redacted] spea...knife .m4a</p> <p>2.2 MB</p>